Appendix I
APEC Project Evaluation Survey

Date: 6-8 November 2017

Instructions: Please indicate your level of agreement with the statements listed in the table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Comments (continue on back if necessary)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The objectives of the training were clearly defined</td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The project achieved its intended objectives</td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The agenda items and topics covered were relevant</td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The content was well organized and easy to follow</td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender issues were sufficiently addressed during implementation</td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The trainers/experts or facilitators were well prepared and knowledgeable about the topic</td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The materials distributed were useful</td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The time allotted for the training was sufficient.</td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. How relevant was this project to you and your economy?

Explain:

2. In your view what were the project's results/achievements?

Explain:
3. What new skills and knowledge did you gain from this event?
   Explain:__________________________________________

4. Rate your level of knowledge of and skills in the topic prior to participating in the event:
   5 very high  4 high  3 medium  2 low  1 very low

5. Rate your level of knowledge of and skills in the topic after participating in the event:
   5 very high  4 high  3 medium  2 low  1 very low
   Explain:__________________________________________

6. How will you apply the project's content and knowledge gained at your workplace? Please provide examples (e.g. develop new policy initiatives, organise trainings, develop work plans/strategies, draft regulations, develop new procedures/tools etc.).
   Explain:__________________________________________

7. What needs to be done next by APEC? Are there plans to link the project's outcomes to subsequent collective actions by fora or individual actions by economies?
   ________________________________________________

8. How could this project have been improved? Please provide comments on how to improve the project, if relevant.
   ________________________________________________

Participant information (identifying information is optional):

Name: Giorgio De Luca
Organisation / Economy: CORAB/CHILE
Email: giorgio.de.luca@corab.chile.cl
Gender: M

Thank you.
Your evaluation is important in helping us assess this project, improve project quality and plan next steps.
## Appendix I

**APEC Project Evaluation Survey**  
**CTWG 01 2017 - Halting Terrorist Financing: APEC Workshop on Targeted Financial Sanctions Regime.**

**Date:** 6-8 November 2017

**Instructions:** Please indicate your level of agreement with the statements listed in the table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STATEMENT</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Comments (continue on back if necessary)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The objectives of the training were clearly defined</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The project achieved its intended objectives</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The agenda items and topics covered were relevant</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The content was well organized and easy to follow</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender issues were sufficiently addressed during implementation</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The trainers/experts or facilitators were well prepared and knowledgeable about the topic</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The materials distributed were useful</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The time allotted for the training was sufficient</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. How relevant was this project to you and your economy?

   5 very          4 mostly         3 somewhat        2 a little         1 not much

   **Explain:**

2. In your view what were the project’s results/achievements?

   **Explain:**
   - Highlighted the importance of countering the financing of terrorism.
3. What new skills and knowledge did you gain from this event?

Explain:

4. Rate your level of knowledge of and skills in the topic prior to participating in the event:

5 very high 4 high 3 medium 2 low 1 very low

5. Rate your level of knowledge of and skills in the topic after participating in the event:

5 very high 4 high 3 medium 2 low 1 very low

Explain:

6. How will you apply the project's content and knowledge gained at your workplace? Please provide examples (e.g. develop new policy initiatives, organise trainings, develop work plans/strategies, draft regulations, develop new procedures/tools etc.).

Explain:

7. What needs to be done next by APEC? Are there plans to link the project’s outcomes to subsequent collective actions by fora or individual actions by economies?

Will assess the report and share

8. How could this project have been improved? Please provide comments on how to improve the project, if relevant.

Participant information (identifying information is optional):

Name:

Organisation / Economy:

Email:

Gender: M / F

Thank you.

Your evaluation is important in helping us assess this project, improve project quality and plan next steps.
## Appendix I

**APEC Project Evaluation Survey**

**CTWG 01 2017 - Halting Terrorist Financing: APEC Workshop on Targeted Financial Sanctions Regime.**

Date: 6-8 November 2017

**Instructions:** Please indicate your level of agreement with the statements listed in the table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Comments (continue on back if necessary)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The objectives of the training were clearly defined</td>
<td>×</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The project achieved its intended objectives</td>
<td>×</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The agenda items and topics covered were relevant</td>
<td>×</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The content was well organized and easy to follow</td>
<td>×</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender issues were sufficiently addressed during implementation</td>
<td>×</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The trainers/experts or facilitators were well prepared and knowledgeable about the topic</td>
<td>×</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The materials distributed were useful</td>
<td>×</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The time allotted for the training was sufficient</td>
<td>×</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Could be very recommend more time</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. How relevant was this project to you and your economy?

   Explain: The new experiences with other countries and try to improve our procedures.

2. In your view what were the project's results/achievements?

   Explain: The project was completely successful.
3. What new skills and knowledge did you gain from this event?

   Explain: 
   
   "New view to develop a CoE"

4. Rate your level of knowledge of and skills in the topic prior to participating in the event:

   very high  4  high  3  medium  2  low  1  very low

5. Rate your level of knowledge of and skills in the topic after participating in the event:

   very high  4  high  3  medium  2  low  1  very low

   Explain: "I developed the normative knowledge in the course".

6. How will you apply the project's content and knowledge gained at your workplace? Please provide examples (e.g. develop new policy initiatives, organise trainings, develop work plans/strategies, draft regulations, develop new procedures/tools etc.).

   Explain: "I think we are going to develop new procedures in my work".

7. What needs to be done next by APEC? Are there plans to link the project's outcomes to subsequent collective actions by fora or individual actions by economies?

   "I think more time to develop all issues properly".

8. How could this project have been improved? Please provide comments on how to improve the project, if relevant.

   "I think the matter needs subject we good. However, we need more time to develop all the details."

Participant information (identifying information is optional):

   Name: Carlos
   Organisation / Economy: Example
   Email: c.bilder@tumblr.com
   Gender: Male / Female

Thank you.
Your evaluation is important in helping us assess this project, improve project quality and plan next steps.
Appendix I

APEC Project Evaluation Survey

Date: 6-8 November 2017

Instructions: Please indicate your level of agreement with the statements listed in the table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Comments (continue on back if necessary)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The objectives of the training were clearly defined</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The project achieved its intended objectives</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The agenda items and topics covered were relevant</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The content was well organized and easy to follow</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender issues were sufficiently addressed during implementation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The trainers/experts or facilitators were well prepared and knowledgeable about the topic</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The materials distributed were useful</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The time allotted for the training was sufficient</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. How relevant was this project to you and your economy?

   | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
   | Very | mostly | somewhat | a little | not much |

   Explain: _____________________________________________________________

2. In your view what were the project’s results/achievements?

   Explain: Sharing information about how each country comply with UNSCR 1267 21373
3. What new skills and knowledge did you gain from this event?
   Explain: 

4. Rate your level of knowledge of and skills in the topic prior to participating in the event:
   
   | 5 | very high |
   | 4 | high       |
   | 3 | medium     |
   | 2 | low        |
   | 1 | very low   |

5. Rate your level of knowledge of and skills in the topic after participating in the event:
   
   | 5 | very high |
   | 4 | high       |
   | 3 | medium     |
   | 2 | low        |
   | 1 | very low   |

   Explain: 

6. How will you apply the project’s content and knowledge gained at your workplace? Please provide examples (e.g. develop new policy initiatives, organise trainings, develop work plans/strategies, draft regulations, develop new procedures/tools etc.).
   Explain: Yes, I will share what I learnt with colleagues.

7. What needs to be done next by APEC? Are there plans to link the project’s outcomes to subsequent collective actions by fora or individual actions by economies?
   Note: APEC may also make an advance course with put in together jurisdiction Attorney General Champion.
   Not only need a good policy but investigation because combating terrorism.

8. How could this project have been improved? Please provide comments on how to improve the project, if relevant.
   Need more practical exercise and more group exercise.

Participant information (identifying information is optional):

Name: NOOR HASLINA BINTI ISMAIL
Organisation / Economy: MALAYSIA
Email: noorhaslina@mp.gov.my
Gender: M / F

Thank you.
Your evaluation is important in helping us assess this project, improve project quality and plan next steps.
Appendix I

APEC Project Evaluation Survey

Date: 6-8 November 2017

Instructions: Please indicate your level of agreement with the statements listed in the table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Comments (continue on back if necessary)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The objectives of the training were clearly defined</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The project achieved its intended objectives</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The agenda items and topics covered were relevant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The content was well organized and easy to follow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender issues were sufficiently addressed during</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>I didn't hear anything about gender issues</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>implementation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The trainers/experts or facilitators were well</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>prepared and knowledgeable about the topic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The materials distributed were useful</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The time allotted for the training was sufficient</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. How relevant was this project to you and your economy?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>5 very</th>
<th>4 mostly</th>
<th>3 somewhat</th>
<th>2 a little</th>
<th>1 not much</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Explain: 

2. In your view what were the project's results/achievements?

Explain: **Exchange of good practices, application of UNCS Resolution, sensitization about the topic, create contacts.**
3. What new skills and knowledge did you gain from this event?
   Explain: Application of UNSC Resolution, good practices in other countries.

4. Rate your level of knowledge of and skills in the topic prior to participating in the event:
   
   5 very high  4 high  3 medium  2 low  1 very low

5. Rate your level of knowledge of and skills in the topic after participating in the event:
   
   5 very high  4 high  3 medium  2 low  1 very low
   Explain: I missed the first part.

6. How will you apply the project's content and knowledge gained at your workplace? Please provide examples (e.g. develop new policy initiatives, organise trainings, develop work plans/strategies, draft regulations, develop new procedures/tools etc.).
   Explain: Understanding our international obligations will shape prosecutor focusing policy and prosecution policy.

7. What needs to be done next by APEC? Are there plans to link the project's outcomes to subsequent collective actions by fora or individual actions by economies?

8. How could this project have been improved? Please provide comments on how to improve the project, if relevant.

Participant information (identifying information is optional):

Name: [Name]
Organisation / Economy: Public Prosecutor Office
Email: [Email]
Gender: M / F

Thank you.

Your evaluation is important in helping us assess this project, improve project quality and plan next steps.
Appendix I

APEC Project Evaluation Survey

Date: 6-8 November 2017

Instructions: Please indicate your level of agreement with the statements listed in the table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Comments (continue on back if necessary)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The objectives of the training were clearly defined</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The project achieved its intended objectives</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The agenda items and topics covered were relevant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The content was well organized and easy to follow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender issues were sufficiently addressed during implementation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The trainers/experts or facilitators were well prepared and knowledgeable about the topic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The materials distributed were useful</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The time allotted for the training was sufficient</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. How relevant was this project to you and your economy?

   5 very 4 mostly 3 somewhat 2 a little 1 not much

   Explain: ~

2. In your view what were the project's results/achievements?

   Explain: ~
3. What new skills and knowledge did you gain from this event? 
   Explain: ____________________________________________________________________

   Terrorist Financing Typologies.

4. Rate your level of knowledge of and skills in the topic prior to participating in the event:
   
   5 very high 4 high 3 medium 2 low 1 very low

5. Rate your level of knowledge of and skills in the topic after participating in the event:
   
   5 very high 4 high 3 medium 2 low 1 very low
   Explain: ____________________________________________________________________

6. How will you apply the project's content and knowledge gained at your workplace? Please provide examples (e.g. develop new policy initiatives, organise trainings, develop work plans/strategies, draft regulations, develop new procedures/tools etc.).
   Explain: ____________________________________________________________________

7. What needs to be done next by APEC? Are there plans to link the project’s outcomes to subsequent collective actions by fora or individual actions by economies?
   ____________________________________________________________________________

8. How could this project have been improved? Please provide comments on how to improve the project, if relevant.
   ____________________________________________________________________________

Participant information (identifying information is optional):

Name: VEERAWAT WARAPAN
Organisation / Economy: THAILAND
Email: VEERAWAT-W@AMLO.GO.TH
Gender: ♂ / F

Thank you.
Your evaluation is important in helping us assess this project, improve project quality and plan next steps.
Appendix I

APEC Project Evaluation Survey

Date: 6-8 November 2017

Instructions: Please indicate your level of agreement with the statements listed in the table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Comments (continue on back if necessary)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The objectives of the training were clearly defined</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The project achieved its intended objectives</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>to include few slots on TF investigation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The agenda items and topics covered were relevant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The content was well organized and easy to follow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender issues were sufficiently addressed during implementation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The trainers/experts or facilitators were well prepared and knowledgeable about the topic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>lends us to all facilitators, esp. Carolins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The materials distributed were useful</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>the case study is well-knitted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The time allotted for the training was sufficient.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. How relevant was this project to you and your economy?

5 very much | 4 mostly | 3 somewhat | 2 a little | 1 not much

Explain: our TSF is already established.

2. In your view what were the project’s results/achievements?

Explain:
3. What new skills and knowledge did you gain from this event?
   Explain:________________________________________________________________________

4. Rate your level of knowledge of and skills in the topic prior to participating in the event:
   
   5 very high  4 high  3 medium  2 low  1 very low

5. Rate your level of knowledge of and skills in the topic after participating in the event:
   
   5 very high  4 high  3 medium  2 low  1 very low
   Explain:________________________________________________________________________

6. How will you apply the project's content and knowledge gained at your workplace? Please provide examples (e.g., develop new policy initiatives, organise trainings, develop work plans/strategies, draft regulations, develop new procedures/tools etc.).
   Explain:________________________________________________________________________

7. What needs to be done next by APEC? Are there plans to link the project's outcomes to subsequent collective actions by fora or individual actions by economies?
   ______________________________________________________________________________

8. How could this project have been improved? Please provide comments on how to improve the project, if relevant.
   The case study format is very good.
   ______________________________________________________________________________

Participant information (identifying information is optional):

Name: Hazrol

Organisation / Economy: Malaysia

Email: hazrol@bnm.gov.my

Gender: M F

Thank you.
Your evaluation is important in helping us assess this project, improve project quality and plan next steps.
Appendix I

APEC Project Evaluation Survey

Date: 6-8 November 2017

Instructions: Please indicate your level of agreement with the statements listed in the table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Comments (continue on back if necessary)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The objectives of the training were clearly defined</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The project achieved its intended objectives</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The agenda items and topics covered were relevant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The content was well organized and easy to follow</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender issues were sufficiently addressed during implementation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The trainers/experts or facilitators were well prepared and knowledgeable about the topic</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The materials distributed were useful</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The time allotted for the training was sufficient</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. How relevant was this project to you and your economy?

5. Very
4. Mostly
3. Somewhat
2. A little
1. Not much

Explain:

2. In your view what were the project’s results/achievements?

Explain: I think the project achieved its intended objectives which are enhance member economy’s understanding of the targeted financial sanctions regime, strengthen member economy’s capacity to implement sanctions, and share experiences.

Explain:

Explain:
3. What new skills and knowledge did you gain from this event?
   Explain: We learnt better about the differences and how to analyse the information by case study which is very useful.

4. Rate your level of knowledge and skills in the topic prior to participating in the event:
   
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Very High</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Medium</th>
<th>Low</th>
<th>Very Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Rate your level of knowledge and skills in the topic after participating in the event:
   
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Very High</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Medium</th>
<th>Low</th>
<th>Very Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
   
   Explain: The panelists gave us enough information on the topic and I learn a lot from their presentation.

6. How will you apply the project's content and knowledge gained at your workplace? Please provide examples (e.g. develop new policy initiatives, organise trainings, develop work plans/strategies, draft regulations, develop new procedures/tools etc.).
   
   Explain: Organise trainings. I think the workshop is successfully organised and we could learn from it.

7. What needs to be done next by APEC? Are there plans to link the project's outcomes to subsequent collective actions by fora or individual actions by economies?

8. How could this project have been improved? Please provide comments on how to improve the project, if relevant.
   
   The project could be held with more days. And if we could have tea exercise / case study paper the day before, we will have more time to think about it and be more efficient in the workshop.

Participant information (identifying information is optional):

Name: Qinfeng YU
Organisation / Economy: China, Ministry of Foreign Affairs
Email: yu-qinfeng@mfa.gov.cn
Gender: ☐ / F

Thank you.

Your evaluation is important in helping us assess this project, improve project quality and plan next steps.
Appendix I

APEC Project Evaluation Survey


Date: 6-8 November 2017

Instructions: Please indicate your level of agreement with the statements listed in the table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Comments (continue on back if necessary)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The objectives of the training were clearly defined</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The project achieved its intended objectives</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Excellent organization and selection of pathfinders.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The agenda items and topics covered were relevant</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Absolutely.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The content was well organized and easy to follow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✗</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender issues were sufficiently addressed during implementation</td>
<td></td>
<td>✗</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The trainers/experts or facilitators were well prepared and knowledgeable about the topic</td>
<td></td>
<td>✗</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The materials distributed were useful</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✗</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The time allotted for the training was sufficient.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✗</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. How relevant was this project to you and your economy?

   | 5 very | 4 mostly | 3 somewhat | 2 a little | 1 not much |

   Explain: _______________________________________

2. In your view what were the project's results/achievements?

   Explain: Better understanding of the International requirements in CT matters
3. What new skills and knowledge did you gain from this event?
   **Explain:**
   I got much better understanding about the requirements and about my colleague's way of doing.

4. Rate your level of knowledge of and skills in the topic **prior to** participating in the event:
   
   
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>5</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>very high</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>medium</td>
<td>low</td>
<td>very low</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Rate your level of knowledge of and skills in the topic **after** participating in the event:
   
   
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>5</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>very high</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>medium</td>
<td>low</td>
<td>very low</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

   **Explain:** I clarify some of my doubts.

6. How will you apply the project's content and knowledge gained at your workplace? Please provide examples (e.g., develop new policy initiatives, organise trainings, develop work plans/strategies, draft regulations, develop new procedures/tools etc.).
   **Explain:** As much as possible.

7. What needs to be done next by APEC? Are there plans to link the project's outcomes to subsequent collective actions by fora or individual actions by economies?
   **We need an extension of these kind of trainings.**

8. How could this project have been improved? Please provide comments on how to improve the project, if relevant.
   **May be we need further training in specific situations and implementation of new resolutions.**

Participant information (identifying information is optional):

Name:

Organisation / Economy:

Email:

Gender: M / F

Thank you.

*Your evaluation is important in helping us assess this project, improve project quality and plan next steps.*
Appendix I

APEC Project Evaluation Survey


Date: 6-8 November 2017

Instructions: Please indicate your level of agreement with the statements listed in the table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Comments (continue on back if necessary)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The objectives of the training were clearly defined</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The project achieved its intended objectives</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The agenda items and topics covered were relevant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The content was well organized and easy to follow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender issues were sufficiently addressed during implementation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The trainers/experts or facilitators were well prepared and knowledgeable about the topic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The materials distributed were useful</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The time allotted for the training was sufficient</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. How relevant was this project to you and your economy?

   
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>5</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>very</td>
<td>mostly</td>
<td>somewhat</td>
<td>a little</td>
<td>not much</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

   Explain:

   2. In your view what were the project's results/achievements?

   Explain: They clarified many key issues related to Terrorist Financing.
3. What new skills and knowledge did you gain from this event?
   
   **Explain:**
   
   ___very interesting how you research with other country's participants and the view on FT from them.

4. Rate your level of knowledge of and skills in the topic prior to participating in the event:
   
   5 very high 4 high 3 medium 2 low 1 very low

5. Rate your level of knowledge of and skills in the topic after participating in the event:
   
   5 very high 4 high 3 medium 2 low 1 very low
   
   **Explain:**

   ___interesting!

6. How will you apply the project's content and knowledge gained at your workplace? Please provide examples (e.g. develop new policy initiatives, organise trainings, develop work plans/strategies, draft regulations, develop new procedures/tools etc.).
   
   **Explain:**
   
   A better understanding about the way people and entities promote tourism. Russia presentation was very interesting.

7. What needs to be done next by APEC? Are there plans to link the project's outcomes to subsequent collective actions by fora or individual actions by economies?
   
   ___for us all was fine

8. How could this project have been improved? Please provide comments on how to improve the project, if relevant.
   
   Everything okay, really.

Participant information (identifying information is optional):

Name: Carlos Torres
Organisation / Economy: CIID
Email: CarlosT@kurier.cl
Gender: M F

---

Thank you.

Your evaluation is important in helping us assess this project, improve project quality and plan next steps.
Appendix I
APEC Project Evaluation Survey

Date: 6-8 November 2017

Instructions: Please indicate your level of agreement with the statements listed in the table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Comments (continue on back if necessary)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The objectives of the training were clearly defined</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The objectives were very clearly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The project achieved its intended objectives</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The project achieved its intended objectives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The agenda items and topics covered were relevant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The agenda items and topics covered were relevant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The content was well organized and easy to follow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes. The content was organized and easy to follow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender issues were sufficiently addressed during implementation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The trainers/experts or facilitators were well prepared and knowledgeable about the topic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The materials distributed were useful</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The time allotted for the training was sufficient</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. How relevant was this project to you and your economy?

   ![Scale](6) very, 4 mostly, 3 somewhat, 2 a little, 1 not much

   Explain: It also showed me a better approach about the relevant of the sanction regime with FIT in the Latin American region.

2. In your view what were the project’s results/achievements?

   Explain: To explore the international organisation and in different jurisdiction about de regulation of sanctions and administrative measures about suspicious operations of FIT.
3. What new skills and knowledge did you gain from this event? 
   **Explain:** Investigation on OUI list 1267 and 1373.

4. Rate your level of knowledge of and skills in the topic prior to participating in the event:
   5 very high 4 high 3 medium 2 low 1 very low

5. Rate your level of knowledge of and skills in the topic after participating in the event:
   5 very high 4 high 3 medium 2 low 1 very low
   **Explain:** Principally I get knowledge about the relevancy, nature, freezing process, related to the OUI list 1267 and 1373.

6. How will you apply the project's content and knowledge gained at your workplace? Please provide examples (e.g. develop new policy initiatives, organise trainings, develop work plans/strategies, draft regulations, develop new procedures/tools etc.).
   **Explain:** Gain knowledge about de asset freezing administration and judicial procedures.

7. What needs to be done next by APEC? Are there plans to link the project's outcomes to subsequent collective actions by fora or individual actions by economies?
   Work in collaboration with the countries, member of the APEC, more inter-agency collaboration between the countries.

8. How could this project have been improved? Please provide comments on how to improve the project, if relevant.
   Give ours the material exposed before the exhibitions.

Participant information (identifying information is optional):

Name: Juan Cortes

Organisation / Economy: Unidad de Análisis Financiero (UAF)

Email: cortes@uaf.cl

Gender: M F

Thank you.
Your evaluation is important in helping us assess this project, improve project quality and plan next steps.
Appendix I

APEC Project Evaluation Survey


Date: 6-8 November 2017

Instructions: Please indicate your level of agreement with the statements listed in the table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Comments (continue on back if necessary)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The objectives of the training were clearly defined</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The project achieved its intended objectives</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The agenda items and topics covered were relevant</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The content was well organized and easy to follow</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender issues were sufficiently addressed during implementation</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The trainers/experts or facilitators were well prepared and knowledgeable about the topic</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The materials distributed were useful</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The time allotted for the training was sufficient.</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. How relevant was this project to you and your economy?

   5 very relevant   4 mostly relevant    3 somewhat relevant    2 a little relevant    1 not much relevant

   Explain: They are very relevant to our counter-terrorism financing efforts.

2. In your view what were the project’s results/achievements?

   Explain: The practical exercises made the acquisition of knowledge easier.
3. What new skills and knowledge did you gain from this event?
   Explain: Many. We learned how things are actually done

4. Rate your level of knowledge of and skills in the topic prior to participating in the event:

   5  very high  4  high  3  medium  2  low  1  very low

5. Rate your level of knowledge of and skills in the topic after participating in the event:

   5  very high  4  high  3  medium  2  low  1  very low
   Explain: Most of the questions on my mind were answered.

6. How will you apply the project's content and knowledge gained at your workplace? Please provide examples (e.g. develop new policy initiatives, organise trainings, develop work plans/strategies, draft regulations, develop new procedures/tools etc.)
   Explain: We will include these in our lectures, seminars and in crafting strategies

7. What needs to be done next by APEC? Are there plans to link the project's outcomes to subsequent collective actions by other or individual actions by economies?
   We would welcome APEC as a venue for future activities like this.

8. How could this project have been improved? Please provide comments on how to improve the project, if relevant.
   Assignment should be given earlier. Even more could be utilised for that purpose

Participant information (identifying information is optional):

Name: ATTY FLORENTINO P. MANAESTAS JR
Organisation / Economy: ANTI-TERROISM COUNCIL - PROGRAM MANAGEMENT CENTRE
Email: f.manaste@jakri.gov.com
Gender: M / F

Thank you.
Your evaluation is important in helping us assess this project, improve project quality and plan next steps.
Appendix I

APEC Project Evaluation Survey


Date: 6-8 November 2017

Instructions: Please indicate your level of agreement with the statements listed in the table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Comments (continue on back if necessary)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The objectives of the training were clearly defined</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The project achieved its intended objectives</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The agenda items and topics covered were relevant</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The content was well organized and easy to follow</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender issues were sufficiently addressed during implementation</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The trainers/experts or facilitators were well prepared and knowledgeable about the topic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The materials distributed were useful</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The time allotted for the training was sufficient.</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. How relevant was this project to you and your economy?

   5 very
   4 mostly
   3 somewhat
   2 a little
   1 not much

Explain: _____________________________

2. In your view what were the project’s results/achievements?

   Explain: Help me know about mechanism prevent money laundering of terrorist financial in members APEC.
3. What new skills and knowledge did you gain from this event?  
   Explain: skill works in groups and works members APEC.

4. Rate your level of knowledge of and skills in the topic prior to participating in the event:
   
   | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
   | very high | high | medium | low | very low |

5. Rate your level of knowledge of and skills in the topic after participating in the event:
   
   | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
   | very high | high | medium | low | very low |
   
   Explain: 

6. How will you apply the project’s content and knowledge gained at your workplace? Please provide examples (e.g. develop new policy initiatives, organise trainings, develop work plans/strategies, draft regulations, develop new procedures/tools etc.).
   
   Explain: Maybe organise trainings

7. What needs to be done next by APEC? Are there plans to link the project’s outcomes to subsequent collective actions by fora or individual actions by economies?

8. How could this project have been improved? Please provide comments on how to improve the project, if relevant.

Participant information (identifying information is optional):

Name: Bich Van
Organisation / Economy: Viet Nam
Email: van nguyen 13 85@gmail.com
Gender: M / F

Thank you.
Your evaluation is important in helping us assess this project, improve project quality and plan next steps.
Appendix I

APEC Project Evaluation Survey

Date: 6-8 November 2017

Instructions: Please indicate your level of agreement with the statements listed in the table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Comments (continue on back if necessary)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The objectives of the training were clearly defined</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The project achieved its intended objectives</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The agenda items and topics covered were relevant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The content was well organized and easy to follow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender issues were sufficiently addressed during implementation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The trainers/experts or facilitators were well prepared and knowledgeable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The materials distributed were useful</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The time allotted for the training was sufficient</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. How relevant was this project to you and your economy?

   5 (very)  4 mostly  3 somewhat  2 a little  1 not much

   Explain: ____________________________________________________________

2. In your view what were the project's results/achievements?

   Explain: ____________________________________________________________

   ____________________________________________________________
3. What new skills and knowledge did you gain from this event? Explain: **About information new and other UAF of APEC. And investigation procedures regarding money laundering and terrorist financing.**

4. Rate your level of knowledge of and skills in the topic **prior** to participating in the event:

   - 5 very high
   - 4 high
   - 3 medium
   - 2 low
   - 1 very low

5. Rate your level of knowledge of and skills in the topic **after** participating in the event:

   - 5 very high
   - 4 high
   - 3 medium
   - 2 low
   - 1 very low

   **Explain:** **Only investigation crime.**

6. How will you apply the project's content and knowledge gained at your workplace? Please provide examples (e.g. develop new policy initiatives, organise trainings, develop work plans/strategies, draft regulations, develop new procedures/tools etc.).

   **Explain:** **With. Help develop new policy initiatives.**

7. What needs to be done **next** by APEC? Are there plans to link the project's outcomes to subsequent collective actions by fora or individual actions by economies?

8. How could this project have been improved? Please provide comments on how to improve the project, if relevant.

   **The project was perfect. Was interesting.**

Participant information (identifying information is optional):

- **Name:** **Marco J. Suarez.**
- **Organisation / Economy:** **Organisation de commerce.**
- **Email:** **marcosuarez@gmail.com.**
- **Gender:** **M** F

*Thank you.*

*Your evaluation is important in helping us assess this project, improve project quality and plan next steps.*